


QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF LEFT VENTRICULAR FUNCTION RECOVERY POST-PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION IN ST-SEGMENT ELEVATION MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION (STEMI) PATIENTS

 <https://doi.org/10.56238/arev6n4-475>

Date of submission: 30/11/2024

Date of publication: 30/12/2024

Francisco Davi Ângelo Lins de Oliveira¹, Nathália Silva Guedes Maciel², Raissa Carla Vasconcelos Mafra³, Guilherme Fontes de Medeiros⁴, Emerson Costa de Lima⁵, André Azevedo de Lacerda Campiello Varella⁶, Bruna de Jesus Cruz⁷, Beatriz Melo Galvão Moura⁸, Karidya Mariana Pereira de Medeiros⁹, Jadson Barros de Souza¹⁰, Karol da Conceição Rabello Cortes¹¹, Gustavo Toshio Yto de Souza¹², Luís Gustavo Gomes da Silva¹³, Matheus Henrique Almeida Cabral¹⁴, Sarah Beatriz Mourão

¹ Graduating in Medicine

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Paraíba

E-mail: franciscodaviangelo@hotmail.com

² Graduating in Medicine

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Jaboatão

E-mail: nathalia.silva@soufits.com.br

³ Graduating in Medicine

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Jaboatão

E-mail: raissacarlamafra@gmail.com

⁴ Graduating in Medicine

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Paraíba

E-mail: guilhermefmedeiros@hotmail.com

⁵ Graduating in Medicine

Universidade de Pernambuco

E-mail: Emerson.costalima@upe.br

⁶ Graduating in Medicine

Universidade Potiguar

E-mail: andre10lacerda@gmail.com

⁷ Graduating in Medicine

Centro Universitário Unidompedro Afya

E-mail: cruzz.brunaj@gmail.com

⁸ Graduating in Medicine

Universidade Potiguar

E-mail: Biameelo@hotmail.com

⁹ Graduating in Medicine

Universidade Potiguar

E-mail: karidyamariana@hotmail.com

¹⁰ Graduating in Medicine

Universidade Potiguar

E-mail: 30taonamedicina@gmail.com

¹¹ Graduating in Medicine

Centro Universitário Unidompedro Afya

E-mail: Lizemendes1221@gmail.com

¹² Graduating in Medicine

Universidade Potiguar

E-mail: gustavotoshio9@gmail.com

¹³ Graduating in Medicine

Universidade Federal de Alagoas

E-mail: luis.gomes@famed.ufal.br

¹⁴ Graduating in Medicine

Parente¹⁵, Cícero Roniel de Sousa¹⁶, Fernando Rodrigues Dias¹⁷, Amanda Marçal Gonçalves¹⁸, José Edson de Moura Neto¹⁹ and Daniela Linhares Lima de Oliveira²⁰

ABSTRACT

Left ventricular function plays a pivotal role in maintaining systemic circulation and is often significantly impaired in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) due to abrupt coronary artery occlusion. This impairment can lead to myocardial necrosis, heart failure, and increased mortality. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has become the gold standard for restoring coronary perfusion in STEMI, offering substantial benefits in improving left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and reducing mortality. This systematic review examines the recovery of left ventricular function in STEMI patients post-PCI, focusing on key predictors of functional recovery and the impact of timely intervention. Recent studies highlight that the extent of myocardial necrosis, microvascular resistance, reperfusion timing, and individual patient characteristics are critical factors influencing LVEF recovery. Research by Elias et al. (2016) and Otero-García et al. (2021) demonstrated significant improvements in ventricular recovery with PCI, emphasizing the importance of treating viable myocardial tissue. Advanced imaging techniques, such as global longitudinal strain and myocardial work analysis, have been shown to enhance the prediction of recovery potential, as reported by Montaser et al. (2020) and Meimoun et al. (2020). Furthermore, the initial ejection fraction and markers of microvascular function, such as the index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR), were identified as strong predictors of recovery in studies by Dauw et al. (2021) and Palmer et al. (2016). Despite its efficacy in restoring coronary flow and improving systolic function, PCI faces limitations in addressing diastolic dysfunction, which remains a significant clinical challenge. Studies also reveal heterogeneity in patient outcomes, influenced by individual baseline characteristics and the extent of myocardial damage. While PCI improves prognosis, enhances functional recovery, and reduces all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, the integration of advanced diagnostic techniques and precise identification of functional predictors are essential for optimizing treatment outcomes. This review underscores the importance of PCI in STEMI management while highlighting ongoing challenges and opportunities for improving left ventricular function recovery.

Centro Universitário Estácio de Sá

E-mail: mhacabral@hotmail.com

¹⁵ Graduating in Medicine

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Bragança

E-mail: sarahparente1@gmail.com

¹⁶ Graduating in Medicine

Afya Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Bragança

E-mail: cicororoni000@gmail.com

¹⁷ Graduating in Medicine

Faculdade de Ensino Superior da Amazônia Reunida

E-mail: fernandorodriguesd12@gmail.com

¹⁸ Graduating in Medicine

Faculdade Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais

E-mail: amanda-m-goncalves@hotmail.com

¹⁹ Graduate in Medicine

Universidade de Pernambuco

E-mail: netomoura13@gmail.com

²⁰ Graduate in Medicine

Centro Universitário Unidompedro Afya

E-mail: danielalinhaires@hotmail.com.br

Keywords: STEMI. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Left Ventricular Function. Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. Functional Recovery Predictors.

INTRODUCTION

Left ventricular function is critical for maintaining effective systemic circulation, as the left ventricle pumps oxygen-rich blood to meet the metabolic demands of the body. It is typically assessed through the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), which quantifies the percentage of blood ejected with each cardiac cycle. In patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), left ventricular function is often severely impaired due to the sudden occlusion of a coronary artery. This ischemic event results in the interruption of blood and oxygen supply to the myocardium, leading to myocardial necrosis, left ventricular dysfunction, and an increased risk of heart failure and mortality (Chen et al., 2017; Dauw et al., 2021). Timely intervention is crucial to limiting myocardial damage and improving outcomes.

Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has become the cornerstone of STEMI management. This procedure restores blood flow to the occluded artery by deploying a stent, significantly reducing the extent of myocardial necrosis and improving left ventricular recovery (Elias et al., 2016). Over the past decades, advancements in PCI techniques, coupled with pharmacological therapies such as dual antiplatelet therapy and anticoagulants, have improved procedural safety and outcomes, minimizing complications and hospital stays (Otero-García et al., 2021). These innovations have also enhanced the evaluation and management of left ventricular recovery, ultimately improving the prognosis of STEMI patients.

Studies have consistently demonstrated that PCI improves LVEF, a key prognostic indicator. On average, STEMI patients experience a 4% increase in LVEF within the first few months post-PCI, with benefits sustained for up to two years when combined with secondary prevention strategies, such as beta-blockers (Oxford, 2021). However, recovery is highly variable and influenced by factors such as infarct size, microvascular function, and reperfusion timing (Palmer et al., 2016). Larger infarctions are associated with poorer outcomes, as more extensive myocardial necrosis reduces the potential for functional recovery (Husebye et al., 2014).

Microvascular dysfunction also plays a critical role in LVEF recovery. Elevated indices, such as the index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR), are associated with reduced recovery, underscoring the importance of microvascular integrity in post-PCI outcomes (Palmer et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2022). Moreover, the timing of PCI significantly impacts

recovery, with delayed reperfusion linked to irreversible myocardial damage and suboptimal functional outcomes (Otero-García et al., 2021).

Despite the success of PCI in restoring coronary flow and improving systolic function, challenges remain in addressing diastolic dysfunction. Studies indicate that approximately 80% of STEMI patients exhibit impaired diastolic function post-PCI, regardless of reperfusion time, suggesting that diastolic dysfunction persists as a significant clinical challenge (Chen et al., 2017). This highlights the need for tailored approaches to address both systolic and diastolic impairments in STEMI patients.

Advancements in diagnostic techniques have provided new tools for assessing left ventricular function and predicting recovery potential. Global longitudinal strain (GLS) and myocardial work indices have shown greater sensitivity than traditional LVEF in detecting subtle functional changes, offering a more comprehensive evaluation of ventricular mechanics (Montaser et al., 2020; Meimoun et al., 2020). These methods enhance risk stratification and allow for personalized therapeutic approaches, especially in patients with comorbidities like diabetes and hypertension, which further impair recovery (Kearney et al., 2019).

Additionally, systemic inflammation has been identified as a potential barrier to recovery. Elevated levels of inflammatory markers, such as interleukin-8 (IL-8), are associated with poorer ventricular recovery and higher complication rates, emphasizing the role of inflammation in post-STEMI management (Husebye et al., 2014).

This study aims to systematically analyze the recovery of left ventricular function in STEMI patients undergoing PCI, focusing on predictors of recovery and the role of advanced diagnostic techniques. By synthesizing evidence from recent literature, this work seeks to provide insights into improving treatment strategies and addressing persistent challenges, ultimately enhancing clinical care for STEMI patients.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a systematic review approach to evaluate the recovery of left ventricular function in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). The analysis focused on identifying key predictors of functional recovery and assessing the role of PCI in improving left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF).

The data were sourced from the PubMed database, utilizing a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free-text keywords to refine the search. The descriptors used included "STEMI," "Percutaneous Coronary Intervention," "Left Ventricular Function," "Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction," and "Functional Recovery Predictors." Boolean operators, such as "AND" and "OR," were applied to create a comprehensive search strategy that captured relevant studies. The temporal filter was set to include articles published between 2014 and 2023 to ensure the inclusion of the most recent and clinically relevant findings.

The inclusion criteria were defined to select studies that met the following parameters:

1. Studies that provided quantitative or qualitative data on left ventricular function recovery in STEMI patients post-PCI.
2. Articles analyzing predictors of LVEF recovery, including myocardial necrosis, microvascular function, initial ejection fraction, and reperfusion time.
3. Peer-reviewed studies published in English to maintain consistency and ensure the reliability of data interpretation.
4. Research employing advanced diagnostic methods, such as global longitudinal strain (GLS) or myocardial work indices, to assess recovery potential.

Exclusion criteria were applied to eliminate studies that did not align with the research objectives. These included:

1. Studies focusing on STEMI patients who did not undergo PCI.
2. Research lacking clear data on LVEF recovery or predictors.
3. Articles addressing unrelated cardiovascular conditions or interventions.
4. Studies published in languages other than English.

The initial database search yielded 26 articles, which were screened based on their titles and abstracts. Of these, 18 were selected for full-text review. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 13 studies were included in the final analysis. These articles provided comprehensive insights into the factors influencing left ventricular recovery and the role of PCI in improving clinical outcomes.

Data extraction focused on capturing key variables, such as baseline LVEF, infarct size, microvascular resistance, reperfusion time, and patient-specific characteristics. Additionally, studies employing advanced imaging techniques or novel diagnostic tools were specifically analyzed to explore their utility in predicting recovery.

The temporal restriction from 2014 to 2023 was implemented to ensure the inclusion of contemporary evidence that reflects current clinical practices and advancements in PCI techniques. By synthesizing findings from this timeframe, the study provides a robust evaluation of functional recovery in STEMI patients and identifies emerging trends in diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.

This methodology underscores the critical importance of timely PCI intervention and precise analysis of recovery predictors, offering valuable insights into optimizing care for STEMI patients.

RESULTS

The recovery of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) varied significantly across the reviewed studies, with outcomes influenced by several key factors, including myocardial viability, microvascular function, reperfusion timing, and patient-specific characteristics. All 13 studies confirmed that PCI improves coronary perfusion and left ventricular function to varying degrees, though the magnitude of improvement depends on the interplay of these variables.

Elias et al. (2016) demonstrated that PCI significantly enhances regional left ventricular function in patients with chronic total occlusions, particularly in myocardial segments identified as viable through magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). They observed marked improvements in segmental wall thickness, providing evidence for PCI's efficacy in restoring systolic function in specific patient subgroups. Similarly, Otero-García et al. (2021) found that 50% of STEMI patients with initial ventricular dysfunction achieved full recovery of LVEF within one year post-PCI, correlating with significant reductions in cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. These results underscore the long-term prognostic importance of LVEF recovery in STEMI patients.

Palmer et al. (2016) highlighted the critical role of microvascular function in recovery. Using the index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR), they found that patients with elevated IMR values (>32 units) experienced significantly less LVEF improvement compared to those with lower IMR values. Hou et al. (2022) corroborated these findings, demonstrating that angiography-derived IMR is a reliable predictor of ventricular recovery, particularly in patients with significant microvascular dysfunction.

The persistence of diastolic dysfunction emerged as a notable finding. Chen et al. (2017) reported that 80% of patients exhibited diastolic dysfunction following PCI, regardless of the timing of reperfusion. This suggests that while PCI effectively restores systolic function, diastolic impairments remain a significant challenge, potentially contributing to long-term morbidity and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF).

Advanced imaging techniques played a pivotal role in assessing left ventricular recovery potential. Montaser et al. (2020) demonstrated that global longitudinal strain (GLS) detected significant functional improvements in 54% of STEMI patients post-PCI, offering superior sensitivity compared to traditional LVEF assessment. Similarly, Meimoun et al. (2020) identified myocardial work indices as robust predictors of LVEF recovery and reduced in-hospital complications, such as reinfarction and heart failure.

Systemic inflammation was another factor influencing recovery. Husebye et al. (2014) reported that elevated interleukin-8 (IL-8) levels were associated with lower LVEF recovery rates, highlighting the detrimental role of inflammatory processes in myocardial healing. Kearney et al. (2019) emphasized the importance of baseline LVEF, identifying it as a primary predictor of functional recovery, further supported by Liu et al. (2023), who found significant LVEF improvements in nearly 46% of STEMI patients post-PCI.

DISCUSSION

The findings from this systematic review highlight the multifaceted nature of left ventricular recovery in STEMI patients undergoing PCI. While PCI has firmly established itself as the gold standard for restoring coronary perfusion, the extent of recovery varies widely, driven by factors such as myocardial viability, microvascular function, initial ejection fraction, and systemic inflammation.

The extent of myocardial necrosis consistently emerged as a primary determinant of recovery. Larger infarctions are associated with poorer LVEF recovery, as confirmed by Elias et al. (2016) and Otero-García et al. (2021). These findings emphasize the importance of early PCI to minimize myocardial damage, enhance functional outcomes, and reduce mortality. Similarly, baseline LVEF was identified as a critical prognostic factor, with higher initial values predicting better recovery rates, as reported by Dauw et al. (2021) and Kearney et al. (2019).

Microvascular function, assessed through tools like IMR, also plays a central role. Elevated IMR values were consistently linked to reduced LVEF improvement, underscoring the need for targeted therapies to enhance microvascular perfusion (Palmer et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2022). These findings suggest that adjunctive treatments aimed at reducing microvascular resistance could significantly improve recovery outcomes.

Persistent diastolic dysfunction remains a major clinical challenge. Despite the success of PCI in restoring coronary flow and improving systolic function, diastolic impairments were observed in the majority of patients (Chen et al., 2017). This highlights an underexplored area in post-STEMI management, as current therapeutic strategies largely focus on systolic recovery. Future research should prioritize interventions targeting diastolic function to address this gap.

Advanced diagnostic techniques have revolutionized the assessment of left ventricular recovery. Non-invasive tools like GLS and myocardial work indices offer greater sensitivity in detecting subtle changes in ventricular function, enabling earlier and more accurate prognostic evaluations (Montaser et al., 2020; Meimoun et al., 2020). These methods allow for more tailored management strategies, particularly for high-risk patients with comorbidities such as diabetes and hypertension, which further impair recovery (Kearney et al., 2019).

Systemic inflammation also emerged as a significant barrier to recovery. Elevated IL-8 levels were associated with poorer outcomes, suggesting that anti-inflammatory strategies could play a role in enhancing myocardial healing (Husebye et al., 2014). This finding highlights the complex interplay between inflammatory processes and left ventricular function recovery.

Although PCI has transformed the management of STEMI, challenges persist in optimizing outcomes. The heterogeneity in recovery underscores the need for personalized treatment approaches that incorporate advanced diagnostics, early intervention, and comprehensive management of systemic factors. By addressing these challenges, clinicians can further enhance the efficacy of PCI and improve long-term outcomes for STEMI patients.

In conclusion, PCI significantly improves left ventricular function and clinical outcomes in STEMI patients. However, the degree of recovery depends on a combination of myocardial, microvascular, and systemic factors. Advanced imaging techniques and early identification of recovery predictors, such as baseline LVEF and microvascular function, are

essential for optimizing treatment strategies and guiding future research in STEMI management.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review emphasizes the multifactorial nature of left ventricular recovery in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). The findings underscore the critical importance of timely and precise clinical decision-making to optimize outcomes, considering the interplay of myocardial, microvascular, and systemic factors. While PCI consistently improves left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and reduces mortality, the degree of recovery varies significantly among patients, influenced by factors such as the extent of myocardial necrosis, baseline ventricular function, microvascular health, and systemic inflammatory processes.

Advanced diagnostic techniques, including global longitudinal strain (GLS) and myocardial work indices, have demonstrated superior sensitivity in predicting recovery potential compared to traditional LVEF assessment. These tools enable clinicians to better stratify risk and tailor interventions, particularly for high-risk patients with complex profiles. Furthermore, the integration of novel biomarkers, such as interleukin-8 (IL-8), highlights the potential for targeted anti-inflammatory strategies to enhance myocardial healing and recovery.

Despite the established benefits of PCI in restoring coronary perfusion and improving systolic function, challenges remain in addressing persistent diastolic dysfunction and heterogeneity in patient outcomes. Diastolic dysfunction, present in a significant proportion of patients post-PCI, represents an underexplored aspect of STEMI management that warrants further research and therapeutic focus. Additionally, the role of microvascular dysfunction, as assessed through the index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR), has been shown to be a critical determinant of recovery, suggesting that therapies targeting microvascular health could further optimize outcomes.

The findings also highlight the importance of individualized treatment strategies. Patients with higher baseline LVEF, smaller infarct sizes, and preserved microvascular function exhibit better recovery, emphasizing the need for early intervention and personalized approaches to improve outcomes. Moreover, incorporating advanced imaging

techniques and biomarkers into routine clinical practice could refine patient selection and enhance the precision of therapeutic decisions.

In summary, PCI remains the gold standard for managing STEMI, offering substantial benefits in improving LVEF and reducing mortality. However, optimizing outcomes requires a comprehensive approach that addresses the nuances of each patient's condition, integrating advanced diagnostics, personalized therapeutic strategies, and novel interventions. Future research should focus on developing targeted treatments for diastolic dysfunction and microvascular health, as well as exploring the long-term impact of advanced diagnostic techniques on functional recovery. By bridging these gaps, this review aims to contribute to improved clinical management and outcomes for STEMI patients undergoing PCI.

REFERENCES

1. Chen, X., et al. (2017). Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction following early and late reperfusion by coronary intervention. **International Journal of Cardiology**, 228, 886–889.
2. Dauw, J., et al. (2021). Left ventricular function recovery after ST-elevation myocardial infarction: correlates and outcomes. **Clinical Research in Cardiology**, 110(9), 1504–1515.
3. Elias, J., et al. (2016). Improved recovery of regional left ventricular function after PCI of chronic total occlusion in STEMI patients: a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study of the randomized controlled EXPLORE trial. **Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance**, 19(1), 53.
4. Hou, C., et al. (2022). The Coronary Angiography-Derived Index of Microcirculatory Resistance Predicts Left Ventricular Performance Recovery in Patients with ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. **Journal of Interventional Cardiology**, 2022(1), 9794919.
5. Husebye, T., et al. (2014). Association of interleukin 8 and myocardial recovery in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction complicated by acute heart failure. **PloS One**, 9(11), e112359.
6. Kearney, A., et al. (2019). 49 Predictors and prognostic role of left ventricular ejection fraction recovery after ST elevation myocardial infarction. **BMJ Journals**.
7. Liu, C., et al. (2023). Incidence and predictors of left ventricular function change following ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. **Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine**, 10, 1079647.
8. Meimoun, P., et al. (2020). Usefulness of noninvasive myocardial work to predict left ventricular recovery and acute complications after acute anterior myocardial infarction treated by percutaneous coronary intervention. **Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography**, 33(10), 1180–1190.
9. Meimoun, P., et al. (2021). Usefulness of non-invasive myocardial work to predict left ventricular recovery and acute complications after acute anterior myocardial infarction treated by primary percutaneous intervention. **Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases Supplements**, 13(1), 56.
10. Montaser, S., et al. (2020). Early and late assessment of left ventricular function using global longitudinal strain after primary PCI. **Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery and Heart Diseases**.
11. Otero-García, O., et al. (2021). Prognostic impact of left ventricular ejection fraction recovery in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: analysis of an 11-year all-comers registry. **European Heart Journal Acute Cardiovascular Care**, 10(8), 898–908.

12. Palmer, S., et al. (2016). The Index of Microcirculatory Resistance Postpercutaneous Coronary Intervention Predicts Left Ventricular Recovery in Patients With Thrombolyzed ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *Journal of Interventional Cardiology*, 29(2), 146–154.
13. Shenouda, R., et al. (2019). Early recovery of left ventricular function after revascularization in acute coronary syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(1), 24.