


## QUANTUM COMPUTING CHALLENGES: EXPLORING DECOHERENCE THROUGH THE LENS OF SHOR'S ALGORITHM

 <https://doi.org/10.56238/arev6n4-423>

Date of submission: 26/11/2024

Date of publication: 26/12/2024

**Antônio César Galhardi<sup>1</sup> and Rodrigo de Souza<sup>2</sup>**

### ABSTRACT

Quantum computing, with its transformative potential in solving complex problems, faces a critical challenge: coupling with the environment and the consequent decoherence of quantum systems. This article examines the state of the art on the subject, providing a theoretical basis for understanding how environmental interactions impact quantum algorithms. Quantum coherence, a fundamental element of quantum mechanics, allows quantum states to exist in superposition. However, interaction with the environment triggers decoherence, resulting in the loss of this coherence and affecting the accuracy of the results of quantum algorithms. The study investigates the mechanisms of environmental coupling, such as interactions with photons, fluctuations in magnetic fields, and other external factors. Additionally, the impact of decoherence on notable algorithms, such as Shor's algorithm, is explored, analyzing its implications for the efficiency in determining results. Contemporary mitigation strategies, including quantum error correction techniques applied to this algorithm, are also discussed. The results highlight that decoherence represents a significant obstacle to the practical application of quantum computing in scientific, organizational and social problems. In parallel, a promising set of tools to mitigate or avoid the effects of decoherence is being developed, advancing the viability of quantum computers as applicable technologies.

**Keywords:** Coupling with the Environment. Quantum Decoherence. Shor's Algorithm. Environmental Interactions.

---

<sup>1</sup> PhD in Mechanical Engineering

Postgraduate, Extension and Research Unit – CPS

Master's student in Mestrado Profissional em Gestão e Tecnologia de Sistemas Produtivos - CPS  
São Paulo, SP, Brazil

E-mail: antonio.galhardi@fatec.sp.gov.br

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8838-6870>

<sup>2</sup> E-mail: rodrigossouza.etec@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0268-9836>

LATTES: <https://lattes.cnpq.br/1942878227591083>

## INTRODUCTION

Quantum computing, with its transformative potential to solve highly complex problems, has attracted increasing academic attention and significant investment in recent years [1]. Quantum algorithms, such as Shor's algorithm, designed for integer factorization, stand out for their ability to surpass the efficiency of equivalent classical algorithms [2]. However, their practical application is closely related to a fundamental challenge: the preservation of quantum coherence.

Quantum coherence, which allows quantum states to coexist in superposition, is one of the pillars that give quantum computing its enormous processing power [3]. However, this property is extremely sensitive to interactions with the environment, which can trigger a phenomenon known as decoherence. This gradual loss of quantum coherence compromises the performance of algorithms, reducing their effectiveness.

Decoherence constitutes one of the greatest challenges for quantum computing, as it introduces significant uncertainties and errors that make it difficult to obtain reliable results [4]. When qubits — the fundamental units of quantum computing — interact with the environment, characterized by particles such as photons, quantum coherence is progressively degraded [5]. This deterioration weakens the superposition and interferences that make quantum algorithms so effective, reducing the predictability and usefulness of their results.

In addition to limiting performance, decoherence imposes practical barriers to the implementation of quantum systems, requiring advanced error correction and environmental control strategies [6]. Understanding and mitigating this phenomenon is crucial to unlocking the potential of quantum computing in scientific, industrial, and social applications.

## OBJECTIVE

This work seeks, through a state-of-the-art literature review, to investigate decoherence caused by coupling with the environment, with an emphasis on its implications for the performance of Shor's algorithm. The objective is to explore mitigation strategies for this phenomenon, given the increased use of quantum computers and the scarcity of studies focused specifically on the interaction between decoherence and quantum algorithms.

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In the context of quantum mechanics, coupling with the environment refers to the interaction between a quantum system and its surroundings, which may include particles, electromagnetic fields, and other environmental factors. This interaction is inherent to the nature of quantum systems and exerts a significant influence on their behavior. At the subatomic scale, coupling with the environment results in the exchange of energy, momentum, and other properties between the quantum system and the particles around it. Typical examples include the emission or absorption of photons, collisions with surrounding atoms or electrons, and interactions with fluctuations in magnetic fields. This subtle interaction is the primary cause of decoherence, which corresponds to the progressive loss of quantum coherence in quantum systems [7]. Decoherence can be triggered by different fundamental interactional phenomena, including:

- Interactions with photons: When a qubit in a superposition state interacts with surrounding photons, an uncontrolled measurement can occur that collapses the quantum state, compromising its coherence. This disturbance often results from the scattering or absorption of photons, leading to the loss of quantum information [8].
- Fluctuations in magnetic fields: Variations in environmental magnetic fields affect sensitive quantum systems, such as those used in nuclear magnetic resonance experiments or spin systems. These uncontrolled fluctuations can introduce quantum errors and accelerate decoherence [9].
- Collisions with environmental particles: Particles such as neutral atoms or free electrons can collide with quantum systems, altering their phases and leading to the gradual loss of coherence. These random collisions reduce the stability of quantum states [10]. In the case of Shor's algorithm, recognized for its efficiency in factoring large integers, decoherence is a considerable obstacle. The algorithm uses quantum coherence to perform complex transformations in superposed states, which are essential for identifying prime factors. However, decoherence, by introducing errors and causing the collapse of quantum states, compromises the accuracy and effectiveness of the algorithm, resulting in potential errors in the results [11].

## MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Mitigation of decoherence depends on the specific characteristics of each algorithm and the implementation conditions. Thus, adapted strategies are essential to meet the particularities of different quantum algorithms [12]. Three main factors justify this customized approach:

- **Algorithmic requirements:** Each quantum algorithm has specific needs. For example, Shor's algorithm requires manipulations aimed at factoring integers, while Grover's algorithm is designed for searches in unstructured databases. These differences demand customized mitigation strategies [13].
- **Complexity and sensitivity:** An algorithm's sensitivity to decoherence varies according to its complexity. Algorithms that are naturally more robust may be less impacted, while more sensitive ones require more advanced correction measures [14].
- **Architecture and implementation:** The configuration of the quantum hardware and the environmental conditions in which the algorithms are executed directly influence mitigation strategies. Specific characteristics of the operating environment, such as temperature and isolation level, must also be considered [15].

Although there are broad techniques, such as the use of quantum error correction codes and adaptive controls, customization is essential to optimize results in practical applications. Tailored strategies maximize performance in specific scenarios, ensuring greater precision and efficiency in combating the effects of decoherence.

## MITIGATION STRATEGIES FOR SHOR'S ALGORITHM

Decoherence mitigation strategies in Shor's algorithm focus on preserving quantum coherence and minimizing errors introduced by interaction with the environment during integer factorization. Among the main approaches are Quantum Error Correction Codes and Adaptive Decoherence Control Techniques [16].

### **quantum error correction codes**

These codes introduce redundancy in quantum information to detect and correct errors caused by decoherence. Among the most relevant are:

- Steane codes: Developed by Andrew Steane in the 1990s, they are based on the entanglement of qubits to protect quantum information against errors. They use redundant qubits, called "code qubits", to encode information so that, even with the disturbance of one or more qubits, errors can be detected and corrected. The process involves parity measurements that identify deviations, allowing corrective operations to be applied and quantum coherence to be restored [17].
- Surface Codes: Based on topological principles, they are particularly effective in correcting errors in quantum architectures with topologically protected qubits. These codes use two-dimensional grids of qubits where data is distributed along edges. The topological structure facilitates the identification of errors through specific measurements, allowing efficient corrections and increasing resistance to decoherence [18].

### **adaptive decoherence control techniques**

These techniques dynamically adjust quantum operations based on real-time environmental conditions. These include:

- Real-time monitoring: Consists of the continuous measurement of relevant parameters, such as quantum error rates and decoherence intensity. This information is crucial to understanding the state of the quantum system [19].
  - Quantum machine learning algorithms: Used to analyze data collected in real time, they learn patterns and identify decoherence characteristics. This analysis allows predicting and reacting efficiently to changing system conditions [20].
  - Optimal control strategies: Based on the analyses performed, they determine specific adjustments in quantum operations to minimize the impacts of decoherence and preserve the coherence of the system [21].
  - Real-time feedback: Integrates the previous steps, allowing immediate adjustments in response to detected decoherence. This continuous process ensures greater stability during the execution of the algorithm [22].
- Continuous Monitoring and Environmental Resilience

In addition to the aforementioned approaches, continuous monitoring plays an essential role in adapting the quantum system to dynamic changes in the environment, such as temperature fluctuations, magnetic fields, and electromagnetic interference. This

monitoring allows for precise adjustments in real time, ensuring the stability of the qubits and minimizing the adverse effects of decoherence.

### **integration of strategies**

The combination of error correction codes, adaptive techniques, and continuous monitoring has proven to be indispensable for increasing the robustness of Shor's algorithm in practical scenarios. This integration not only improves the reliability of the algorithm in systems subject to decoherence, but also promotes advances in quantum computing by addressing the challenges of environmental interaction.

### **METHOD**

The research adopts a literature review approach, analyzing academic publications, books and recent or canonical articles on quantum computing, quantum coherence and decoherence. The selection prioritized works published in highly relevant journals and specialized conferences in the fields of quantum physics and computer science.

The study focuses on identifying the main mechanisms of coupling with the environment, including interactions with subatomic particles and fluctuations of external fields, as well as the most promising strategies to mitigate the effects of decoherence. Case studies on the application of Shor's algorithm were also evaluated, providing a detailed analysis of the impact of decoherence on its efficiency and accuracy.

The synthesis of the contributions aims to offer an integrated view of the challenges and solutions for quantum computing, with direct implications for the practical feasibility of this technology.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The research highlighted quantum decoherence, resulting from coupling with the environment, as one of the main challenges for the efficient implementation of quantum algorithms, including Shor's algorithm. Factors such as photons, fluctuations in magnetic fields and collisions with particles promote the progressive loss of quantum coherence, compromising the accuracy of the results. The literature shows that this coupling induces uncontrolled measurements and disturbances in the quantum phases of superposed states, resulting in quantum errors that can make the correct execution of the algorithm unfeasible.

In the specific case of Shor's algorithm, decoherence directly impacts the quantum transformations responsible for the factorization of integers, impairing the process of identifying prime factors. The efficiency of the algorithm is, therefore, directly related to the ability to preserve quantum coherence throughout the operations, which reinforces the importance of robust strategies for controlling and mitigating decoherence.

Among the mitigation strategies analyzed, quantum error correction techniques stand out, such as surface and concatenated codes, which have proven effective in detecting and correcting errors without destroying quantum information. These methods allow the system's superposed state to be prolonged, ensuring greater stability during the execution of the algorithm. In addition, adaptive control and dynamic decoupling techniques were explored as viable solutions to minimize the effects of decoherence, by dynamically adjusting interactions with the environment.

Despite the advances, the practical implementation of these strategies faces significant challenges, especially in terms of scalability and robustness in large quantum systems. Thus, while mitigation strategies represent clear progress, the development of more resilient quantum architectures and innovative error correction solutions remains essential to enable the practical application of algorithms such as Shor's.

## **FINAL THOUGHTS**

Quantum decoherence constitutes a crucial obstacle to the implementation of Shor's algorithm and, by extension, to the evolution of quantum computing. By compromising quantum coherence, decoherence reduces the effectiveness of the algorithm and threatens its ability to perform efficient integer factorization. However, mitigation strategies such as error-correcting codes, adaptive control, and continuous monitoring have shown great potential to address this challenge.

The progress in decoherence mitigation highlights the ongoing need to improve existing strategies and develop new approaches. As quantum computing approaches practical applications, research focused on refining these techniques is essential to ensure the robustness and reliability of quantum systems in real-world scenarios.

In addition, tackling this problem requires constant innovation. Future studies may explore novel solutions, considering the specificities of emerging quantum systems. Interdisciplinary collaboration between physicists, engineers and computer scientists will be

essential to overcome the challenges posed by decoherence, promoting significant advances in the field.

In this way, the development of technologies and methodologies that mitigate decoherence will allow not only the full potential of Shor's algorithm, but also the consolidation of quantum computing as a revolutionary tool in the field of technology.



## REFERENCES

1. CARDOSO, A.S.; FERRARI, P.A.; ALMEIDA, N.G., Potencialidades da computação quântica problematizada para discutir Ciência, Tecnologia e Sociedade. *Experiências em Ensino de Ciências*, v. 14, n. 2, p. 49-70, 2019.
2. PASQUALE, R.P.; BIANCHINI, C.P. Um estudo exploratório das falhas de segurança de algoritmos de criptografia na era da computação quântica. In: XVI Jornada de Iniciação Científica e X Mostra de Iniciação Tecnológica-2020. 2020.
3. MACHADO, P.D. Coerência quântica de estados de fenda: preparação e caracterização parcial de estados bipartidos assimétricos. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – Tese de Doutorado, Belo Horizonte, 2020.
4. SCHLOSSHAUER, M. Quantum decoherence. *Physics Reports*, v. 831, p. 1-57, 2019.
5. WOJCIECH, Z.H. Environment-induced decoherence and the transition from quantum to classical. *Vistas in Astronomy*, Volume 37, Pages 185-196., 1993.
6. KERKER, N.; RÖPKE, R.; STEINERT, L.M.; POOCH, A.; STIBOR, A. Quantum decoherence by Coulomb interaction. *New Journal of Physics*, v. 22, n. 6, p. 063039, 2020.
7. STÁREK, R.; MIČUDA, M.; STRAKA, I.; NOVÁKOVÁ, M.; DUŠEK, M.; JEŽEK, M.; FILIP, R. Experimental quantum decoherence control by dark states of the environment. *New Journal of Physics*, v. 22, n. 9, p. 093058, 2020.
8. CARDOSO, A.C. Simulation of quantum jump in qutrit photonic path system. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – Tese de Doutorado, Belo Horizonte, 2021.
9. MOHAMED, A.B.A.; KHEDR, A.N.; HADDADI, S.; RAHMAN, A.U.; TAMMAM, M.; POURKARIMI, M.R. Intrinsic decoherence effects on nonclassical correlations in a symmetric spin–orbit model. *Results in Physics*, v. 39, p. 105693, 2022.
10. MILLEN, J.; STICKLER, B.A. Quantum experiments with microscale particles. *Contemporary Physics*, v. 61, n. 3, p. 155-168, 2020.
11. MOODY, G.; CHANG, L.; STEINER, T.J.; BOWERS, J.E. Chip-scale nonlinear photonics for quantum light generation. *AVS Quantum Science*, v. 2, n. 4, 2020.
12. PASSARELLI, G.; YIP, K.W.; LIDAR, D.A.; LUCIGNANO, P. Standard quantum annealing outperforms adiabatic reverse annealing with decoherence. *Physical Review*, v. 105, n. 3, p. 032431, 2022.
13. KHATRI, Sumeet et al. Mitigating noise in variational quantum algorithms. *Physical Review A*, v. 98, n. 5, 052334, 2018

14. VOLYA, D.; ZHANG, T.; ALAM, N.; TEHRANIPOOR, M.; MISHRA, P. Towards secure classical-quantum systems. In: IEEE International Symposium on Hardware Oriented Security and Trust (HOST), p. 283-292., 2023.
15. RAVI, G.S.; SMITH, K.N.; GOKHALE, P.; MARI, A.; EARNEST, N.; JAVADI-ABHARI, A.; CHONG, F.T. Vaqem: A variational approach to quantum error mitigation. In: IEEE International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture (HPCA), p. 288-303., 2022.
16. CEREZO, M.; ARRASMITH, A.; BABBUSH, R.; BENJAMIN, S.C.; ENDO, S.; FUJII, K.; COLES, P.J. Variational quantum algorithms. *Nature Reviews Physics*, v. 3, n. 9, p. 625-644, 2021.
17. TREMBLAY, M.A.; DELFOSSE, N.; BEVERLAND, M.E. Constant-overhead quantum error correction with thin planar connectivity. *Physical Review Letters*, v. 129, n. 5, p. 050504, 2022.
18. KRINNER, S.; LACROIX, N.; REMM, A.; DI PAOLO, A.; GENOIS, E.; LEROUX, C.; WALLRAFF, A. Realizing repeated quantum error correction in a distance-three surface code. *Nature*, v. 605, n. 7911, p. 669-674, 2022.
19. AL-MOAHMMED, A.H. Quantum radar: a brief analytical study. In: 16th International Computer Engineering Conference (ICENCO). IEEE, p. 174-180, 2020.
20. ZHANG, Y.; QIANG, N. Recent advances in quantum machine learning. *Quantum Engineering*, v. 2, n. 1, p. e34, 2020.
21. KOCH, C.P.; BOSCAIN, U.; CALARCO, T.; DIRR, G.; FILIPP, S.; GLASER, S.J.; WILHELM, F.K. Quantum optimal control in quantum technologies. Strategic report on current status, visions and goals for research in Europe. *EPJ Quantum Technology*, v. 9, n. 1, p. 19, 2022.
22. MAGRINI, L.; ROSENZWEIG, P.; BACH, C.; DEUTSCHMANN-OLEK, A.; HOFER, S.G.; HONG, S.; ASPELMEYER, M. Real-time optimal quantum control of mechanical motion at room temperature. *Nature*, v. 595, n. 7867, p. 373-377, 2021.