SCHOOL MANAGEMENT AND INNOVATION: TRANSFORMING THE SCHOOL INTO A LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

Débora Alves Morra Loures[1], Nubiragina Salasar dos Reis[2], Ilmarcia Ribeiro Lima Mendonça[3], Silvia Maria Coelho Mouta Motena[4] and Daiane Aparecida Lemos[5]

ABSTRACT
The article analyzed how school management can be transformed into a dynamic and inclusive environment through the integration of innovative technologies and collaborative practices. The objective was to investigate how these practices contribute to the construction of learning communities that promote the engagement of the school community and the integral formation of students. The theme was addressed from the perspective of democratic management, technology as a pedagogical tool and the role of the manager and the teacher as agents of transformation. The research used a bibliographic methodology, based on the critical analysis of theoretical sources that explore the relationship between management, innovation and inclusion in the school environment. The analysis focused on the articulation of different theoretical frameworks, identifying connections between management practices, neuroscience and teacher training. It was concluded that school management, when guided by democratic practices and the strategic use of technology, is capable of overcoming administrative and pedagogical barriers, creating more equitable and effective educational environments. In addition, the importance of continuous teacher training to integrate technological advances into teaching in a meaningful way was highlighted. The research reinforced the need to expand empirical studies on the practical impact of these strategies, especially in challenging contexts, and proposed ways for the school to respond to the educational demands of the twenty-first century.

Keywords: School Management. Educational Innovation. Pedagogical Technologies. Teacher Training. School Inclusion.

[1] Doctorate student in Educational Sciences
Inter-American Faculty of Social Sciences (FICS)
E-mail: damloures@yahoo.com.br
LATTES: https://lattes.cnpq.br/4841091115163473
[2] Specialist in Institutional Psychopedagogy with Emphasis on Special Education
Faculdade Maranhense (FAM)
E-mail: nubya81_reis@hotmail.com
[3] Master's student in Emerging Technologies in Education
MUST University
E-mail: ilmarcia123@hotmail.com
[4] Master in Education
Catholic University of Santos (UNISANTOS)
E-mail: silvia.mota@cps.sp.gov.br
[5] Master in Emerging Technologies in Education
MUST University
E-mail: daiane.lemos@edu.mt.gov.br
LATTES: https://lattes.cnpq.br/3307429186244496

INTRODUCTION

School management, in a context of social and technological changes, has always demanded studies that deepen its practices and explore new approaches to enhance learning. The relevance of the theme lies in the need to transform the school into an inclusive and dynamic learning environment, capable of meeting contemporary demands. This transformation requires management that goes beyond the fulfillment of administrative functions, integrating democratic practices and the strategic use of technologies to engage the school community and optimize pedagogical processes.

The main objective was to analyze how innovation in school management can contribute to the creation of dynamic learning communities, promoting the integral formation of students and the active participation of all segments of the school community. The question that guided the research was: 'how can the integration of technologies and collaborative practices in school management positively impact the quality of education?'

The research used as a methodology a bibliographic approach, based on the conception of Cervo and Bervian (2002, p.24), who state that "the theme of a research is any subject that needs better definitions, better precision and clarity of what already exists about it". This means that the research focused on clarifying specific aspects related to the theme, using the analysis of previously published theoretical and conceptual materials. Data collection was based on academic publications, books and articles that deal with school management, technologies in education, teacher training and pedagogical practices. The analysis technique focused on the critical interpretation of the theoretical frameworks, seeking to identify connections between the perspectives analyzed.

The work was developed in four main parts. Initially, in Innovation in School Management: Building Dynamic Learning Communities, it was discussed how technology and democratic management can transform the school into an effective learning environment. Next, School Management and Community: An Integrated Approach to Human Formation addressed the importance of dialogue between school segments to promote inclusion and collaboration. In the third section, School Management and Innovation: The Transformative Role of Technology in Education, the possibilities that technology offers for management and teaching were explored, highlighting the need to train teachers as learning facilitators.

Finally, the Results and Data Analysis presented the main conclusions of the study, highlighting the importance of integrating technology, continuous training and democratic

practices. Thus, the research sought to contribute to the understanding of how school management can respond to contemporary challenges, promoting learning environments that unite innovation, equity and integral development.

INNOVATION IN SCHOOL MANAGEMENT: BUILDING DYNAMIC LEARNING COMMUNITIES

School management plays a central role in building an effective learning environment capable of meeting the contemporary needs of the school community. As highlighted by Santana *et al.* (2024), the integration of innovative technologies in the field of management can enhance both community engagement and efficiency in administrative and pedagogical processes. In this sense, school management is no longer just an organizational mechanism to assume a strategic role in the transformation of the school into an inclusive and dynamic space, committed to the integral formation of students.

In addition, Paro (2007) emphasizes that the democratic management of public schools is essential for the school to fulfill its social role, promoting dialogue between the various segments of the school community. This dialogue, according to the author, is not only an organizational resource, but an indispensable tool for the collective construction of the political-pedagogical project. This document reflects the institution's objectives, values, and identity, ensuring that its performance is directed to meet the demands of society and students.

Furthermore, Silvello and Hartmann (2009) point out that, despite the differences between the management of public and private schools, there are common elements, such as the need to promote dialogue and collaboration between the different school actors. This similarity reinforces the importance of participatory management, which recognizes and values the particularities of each context, but which, at the same time, strives to create democratic and innovative environments.

From this perspective, the incorporation of technologies in school management emerges as a viable solution to overcome structural challenges and expand the capacity of schools, especially public ones. Santana *et al.* (2024) point out that, by adopting technological tools, school management not only optimizes administrative processes, but also creates conditions for more interactive teaching and aligned with the demands of the twenty-first century. This technological integration must, however, be accompanied by a

critical and reflective stance, as indicated by Paro (2007), to prevent innovation from being seen as an end in itself and not as a means to transform pedagogical practice.

In addition, Silvello and Hartmann (2009) highlight that the constant reconstruction of the political-pedagogical project is an opportunity to align management strategies with the real needs of the school community. In this context, technology can be seen as a catalyst, facilitating access to information and promoting collaboration between different actors. Such an approach not only strengthens the school's identity, but also expands its ability to adapt to the ever-changing social and educational demands.

Therefore, by articulating the view of Santana *et al.* (2024) with the contributions of Paro (2007) and Silvello and Hartmann (2009), it can be concluded that democratic and innovative school management is not only an ideal, but a pressing need. Through the integration of technologies and the strengthening of dialogue between different segments, it is possible to transform the school into a true learning environment, committed to the integral development of students and the construction of a more equitable and democratic society.

SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT: AN INTEGRATED APPROACH TO HUMAN FORMATION

The school, as a complex social structure, is composed of several segments that make up the so-called "school community". According to Silvello and Hartmann (2009, p. 2),

> The school is not formed only by its direction and faculty, it is a complex social structure that combines several segments that form the so-called 'school community', these segments are organized into: managers (Direction and Pedagogical Coordination), parents, faculty (teachers), employees and student body (students).

In this way, all these segments work together with the objective of promoting the integral human formation of students, evidencing the role of the school as a space for social and human development. In this context, school management is essential to ensure that the school achieves its purposes. As Silvello and Hartmann (2009, p. 3) point out,

> [...] school management is responsible for making the school work; It is responsible for the administration of financial, material and human resources so that the objective of the school institution is met, that is, the complete human formation of its students.

This function goes beyond administrative management, as it requires a collective commitment between teachers, managers, and public policy makers, as highlighted by

Ferreira and Lima (2024, p. 17): "success in schools depends on a collective commitment between teachers, managers, and public policy makers."

In addition, the role of the principal as the main manager of the school assumes great relevance in this process. According to Silvello and Hartmann (2009), the principal, as the main manager of the school, is responsible for ensuring the full functioning of the institution as a social organization. This role must be performed with a focus on student learning and social formation, always in accordance with the legal determinations in force at the national, state and local levels. In practice, this performance can be observed in schools that develop pedagogical projects based on participatory guidelines and oriented to compliance with current legislation.

According to Luck (2009), democratic management is essential to ensure quality and equity in education. For the author,

> [...] the realization of the management process also includes the active participation of all teachers and the school community as a whole, in order to contribute to the effectiveness of democratic management that guarantees quality for all students (Luck, 2009, p. 23).

This approach, based on collective participation, reflects the importance of practices that involve the joint construction of educational objectives and strategies. A practical example of this approach can be found in schools that implement school councils made up of representatives from all segments of the school community. These councils, by promoting regular meetings to discuss pedagogical and administrative goals, ensure greater transparency in decision-making processes and stimulate community engagement. In a public school located in the interior of Brazil, the creation of a school council resulted in significant improvements in both infrastructure and the quality of education, evidencing the positive impact of democratic management.

Therefore, by dialoguing with the perspectives of Silvello and Hartmann (2009), Ferreira and Lima (2024) and Luck (2009), it is concluded that democratic and participatory school management is essential for the success of educational institutions. This approach, guided by collective commitment and the inclusion of the school community in decision-making processes, transforms the school into an environment of learning and human development, contributing directly to the construction of a more equitable and democratic society.

SCHOOL MANAGEMENT AND INNOVATION: THE TRANSFORMATIVE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGY IN EDUCATION

School management, when combined with technological innovation, transforms the educational environment into an equitable and dynamic learning space. According to Narciso *et al.* (2024, p. 721), "pedagogical practice aims to ensure that all students, regardless of their abilities or needs, have equitable access to learning". To achieve this goal, it is essential that managers integrate technological resources into educational practices, promoting not only equity, but also quality in teaching.

In addition, the continuous training of teachers plays a crucial role in this process. As stated by Narciso *et al.* (2024, p. 724), [...] teacher training should not be seen as an isolated event, but as a continuous process that emphasizes constant updating and collaboration among education professionals.

In this sense, technology offers tools that allow online courses , *webinars,* and virtual learning communities, providing teachers with access to up-to-date and collaborative knowledge, regardless of geographical or temporal limitations.

The sophistication of digital technologies also expands the pedagogical possibilities. The evolution of the WWW (*World Wide Web*) system has made it possible to achieve more effective educational results, stimulating innovations both in technological mediation and in the didactic-pedagogical proposal. Bartelle and Neto (2019) add that, by incorporating efficient technologies, educational practices become more aligned with contemporary needs, promoting greater engagement and better learning outcomes.

In this context, it is observed that the integration of neuroscience and technology in education requires a redefinition of the role of the teacher. Mendes *et al.* (2024, p. 2450) highlight that "the teacher is not only a transmitter of knowledge, but a facilitator of learning, who must understand and apply neuroscientific principles in their pedagogical practices". This approach requires school managers to promote training that combines neuroscientific knowledge with the use of innovative technologies, preparing teachers to meet the demands of students with different learning styles.

In practice, a school manager can use technology to optimize both teacher training and the pedagogical demands of a school. For example, a digital platform can be implemented that allows the mapping of teachers' competencies and identifies areas where further development is needed. This platform can offer adaptive courses, based on the interests and individual needs of teachers, in addition to creating virtual spaces for the

exchange of experiences. In addition, by using data analysis tools, the manager can monitor student performance in real time, enabling more accurate pedagogical interventions aligned with the difficulties of each student.

In addition, an example of the transformation of the school into a learning environment can be observed in institutions that implement flipped classrooms, innovation labs, or peer-to-peer mentoring programs. These initiatives, combined with the use of digital platforms and educational data analysis, allow managers to customize pedagogical strategies, meeting the individual needs of students and promoting more effective learning.

Thus, transforming the school into a learning environment requires an integrated approach, which combines efficient management, continuous teacher training and strategic use of technology. As highlighted by Mendes *et al.* (2024), the school must be a space where all educational agents collaborate to create an inclusive environment that is conducive to the integral development of students. By adopting these practices, the school consolidates itself as a transforming element in society, aligning itself with the challenges and demands of the twenty-first century.

Therefore, when dialoguing with the theoretical references, it is perceived that school management, when guided by technology and continuous training, enhances the transformation of the educational environment. The combination of neuroscience, technological innovation, and pedagogical strategies contributes to the school meeting the demands of the twenty-first century more effectively, promoting more inclusive, personalized, and meaningful learning.

RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

The main conclusions of this study highlight the relevance of integrating innovative technologies in school management as an essential tool for creating more dynamic, inclusive and effective learning environments. It was evidenced that democratic management, combined with the strategic use of technologies and the continuous training of teachers, plays a central role in the promotion of pedagogical practices that meet the demands of the twenty-first century.

The table below is extremely important in this bibliographic research because it offers a structured and clear view of the main theoretical contributions related to the theme. It synthesizes information about the authors, the years of publication, the topics covered and the relevance of their research.

Table 1 - Main theoretical findings

Author	Year of Publication	Research Subject	Relevance of the Research
Santana *et al.*	2024	Integration of technologies in school management for engagement and efficiency.	It explores how technology can transform pedagogical and administrative practices.
Stop	2007	Democratic management in public schools and construction of pedagogical projects.	It defends the participation of the entire school community to build an inclusive school.
Silvello the Hartmann	2009	Participatory school management and dialogue between segments of the school community.	It demonstrates the importance of a democratic environment for educational collaboration.
Luck	2009	Democratic management to ensure equity and quality in education.	It addresses practices to promote social justice and educational quality.
Ferreira e Lima	2024	Collective commitment between teachers, managers and public policy makers.	It emphasizes the need for collaboration for school success.
Narciso *et al.*	2024	Continuing education of teachers and equity in learning with technology.	It points to teacher training as a key element to meet contemporary educational demands.
Bartelle and Neto	2019	Effects of digital technology on pedagogical and didactic innovation.	It demonstrates how technology can engage students and improve educational practices.
Mendes *et al.*	2024	Integration of neuroscience and technology to redefine the role of the teacher.	It explains how neuroscience can be used to personalize learning.

Source: author himself.

According to the studies by Narciso *et al.* (2024), technology not only expands access to equitable learning, but also strengthens managers' ability to customize educational strategies to meet the needs of students and teachers.

The significance of these findings goes beyond the simple modernization of school management. They reinforce the idea that the school is not only a space for the transmission of knowledge, but a dynamic social organization, as highlighted by Silvello and Hartmann

(2009). The use of technologies makes it possible to overcome traditional barriers, such as resource limitations and geographical distances, creating opportunities for collaborative and innovative practices that strengthen the integral education of students. These conclusions also corroborate the view of Mendes *et al.* (2024) that teachers, as learning facilitators, should integrate neuroscientific and technological principles into their pedagogical practices to promote more inclusive and meaningful teaching.

These findings also connect coherently with previous work. For example, Paro (2007) highlighted that democratic management is essential for the success of educational institutions, an idea that aligns with the evidence that collaboration between all segments of the school community is fundamental for the development of transformative educational environments. In addition, the findings of this study complement the observations of Bartelle and Neto (2019) on how digital technologies promote didactic and pedagogical innovations, increasing engagement and efficiency in learning.

However, some bibliographic limitations were identified. The reviewed literature presents a gap in empirical studies that evaluate the practical impacts of technological integration in school management, especially in public school contexts with limited resources. In addition, although the role of technology is widely discussed, less attention is paid to the cultural and social adaptation necessary for these innovations to be effective in different educational realities.

One explanation for surprising, unexpected, or inconclusive results may be related to the complexity of implementing technologies on a large scale, especially in traditional education systems. As noted by Technological Efficiency is intrinsically linked to the ability of individuals to adapt their practices to new tools. This perspective may explain why some technological initiatives do not achieve the expected impact, due to cultural resistance or lack of adequate infrastructure.

Finally, this study suggests the need for more research that explores the practical impact of technology on school management, especially in contexts with limited resources. Future studies could investigate how continuous and personalized teacher training can maximize the benefits of technological integration. In addition, empirical research that analyzes the impact of innovative school management on academic performance and student engagement would be valuable to reinforce the findings presented and propose more coherent guidelines for educational practice.

CONCLUSION

This study sought to analyze how school management, combined with technological innovation and democratic practices, can transform the school into an inclusive and dynamic learning environment. The objectives were widely met, as it was possible to demonstrate that the integration of technologies not only optimizes administrative processes, but also enhances the engagement of the school community and promotes pedagogical practices that meet contemporary demands. In addition, it was evident that the role of the school manager is central to implementing innovative strategies, ensuring that the continuous training of teachers and the participation of the community are pillars in the construction of quality education.

The survey also highlighted the importance of collaborative practices between managers, teachers and other segments of the school community, highlighting that dialogue and integration are indispensable elements for the success of educational initiatives. In this context, technology presents itself as a powerful ally, allowing you to customize strategies and overcome structural and cultural barriers, especially in more challenging contexts.

However, the complexity of the theme and the bibliographic limitations identified reinforce the need for theoretical and empirical advances in the area. Thus, it is encouraged that more research be done to investigate, in a more detailed way, the practical impacts of technology on school management and student learning. Future studies could also explore how different cultural and social realities influence the effectiveness of these innovations, as well as the role of public policies in supporting the transformation of the educational environment.

In short, the transformation of the school into an effective learning environment requires a joint and continuous effort, which combines innovation, efficient management and collaborative practices. This path not only raises the quality of education, but also contributes to the integral formation of students and

REFERENCES

1. BARTELLE, L. B.; NETO, G. B. A neurociência e a educação por meio das tecnologias. Poíesis Pedagógica, v. 17, n. 1, p. 84-96, 2019. Disponível em: https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:EU:f7dce092-3412-4923-8c4e-faf7cebfa96d. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2024.

2. CERVO, A. L.; BERVIAN, P. A. Metodologia científica. São Paulo: Prentice Hall, 2002.

3. FERREIRA, Leonardo Alves; LIMA, Ivoneide Pinheiro de. Desafios atuais para o ensino de matemática e a educação financeira escolar. Current challenges in teaching mathematics and school financial education. Revista de Educação, v. 12, n. 1, 2024. ISSN 1981-6979. Edição temática - Pesquisas em Educação Financeira em Diversos Contextos. Disponível em: https://revista.uepb.edu.br/REM/article/view/2730. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2024.

4. LUCK, Heloísa. Dimensões da gestão escolar e suas competências. Curitiba: Editora Positivo, 2009.

5. MENDES, A. D.; SILVA, B. H. F.; SOUZA, E. G.; ARAUJO, J. R.; REIS, R. G.; SILVA, R. G.; SOUZA FERMIN, T. Neurociência: interseção entre neurociência, educação e tecnologia. Inovação Tecnológica na Educação: Gestão, Formação de Professores e Inclusão, v. 272, 2024. Disponível em: https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:EU:1f7e0fb9-cf92-4256-84fb-2c81b3180175. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2024.

6. NARCISO, R.; OLIVEIRA, F. C. N. de; ALVES, D. de L.; DUARTE, E. D.; MAIA, M. A. dos S.; REZENDE, G. U. de M. Inclusão escolar: desafios e perspectivas para uma educação mais equitativa. Revista Ibero-Americana de Humanidades, Ciências e Educação, v. 10, n. 8, p. 713-728, 2024. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.51891/rease.v10i8.15074. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2024.

7. PARO, V. H. Gestão democrática da escola pública. São Paulo: Ática, 2007.

8. SANTANA, A. C. de A.; SILVA, J. B.; RODRIGUES, D. M.; SILVA, L. G. da; PEREIRA, M. N.; SANTANA, J. S. S.; ANDRADE, C. de. O papel da família na educação: construindo pontes entre escola e lar. Revista Políticas Públicas & Cidades, v. 13, n. 2, e1010, 2024. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.23900/2359-1552v13n2-118-2024. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2024.

9. SILVELLO, João Pedro de Carvalho; HARTMANN, Maria Lourdes Backes. Escola particular e pública: comparativos na interface da gestão escolar. XVII Seminário Internacional da Educação do Mercosul, 2009. Disponível em: https://home.unicruz.edu.br/mercosul/pagina/anais/2018/3%20-Mostra%20de%20Trabalhos%20da%20Gradua%C3%A7%C3%A3o%20e%20P%C3%B3s-Gradua%C3%A7%C3%A3o/Trabalhos%20Completos/ESCOLA%20PARTICULAR%20E%20P%C3%9ABLICA%20COMPARATIVOS%20NA%20INTERFACE%20DA%20GEST%C3%83O%20ESCOLAR.pdf. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2024