

GAMMA KNIFE AND QUALITY OF LIFE: A REVIEW OF THE USE OF RADIOSURGERY IN BRAIN TUMORS

b https://doi.org/10.56238/arev6n2-200

Submitted on: 30/09/2024

Publication date: 30/10/2024

João Victor Lemos Silva¹, Elton Lemos Silva², Atinelle Teles Novais Lemos³, Joyce Damasio⁴, Emanuelle Santos de Oliveira⁵, Marlon Alexandre Ferreira Ribeiro ⁶, Leide Lourdes Barbosa Dias⁷, Mayla Mikelly Amorim Maciel⁸, Thalita de Cássia Silva de Oliveira⁹, Leonardo Torres Camurça¹⁰, Guillermo Cândido de Lorena¹¹

¹ Medical student Federal University of Pará UFPA E-mail: Joaovictorlemos8@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-4643-8684 ² Medical student Faculdades Integradas Aparício Carvalho - FIMCA Email: doctoreltonlemos@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-5443-1920 ³ Obstetrician Gynecologist Faculdades Integradas Aparício Carvalho - FIMCA Email: atinelletelesnovaislemos@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7164-8109 ⁴ Obstetrician Gynecologist Aparício Carvalho Integrated Colleges - FIMCA E-mail: joyce-damasio@hotmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9708-6710 ⁵ Nursing student São Lucas University Center - UNISL Email: Emanuelleenfermeirando@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5587-7311 ⁶ Nursing student São Lucas University Center - UNISL E-mail: mr270b@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5856-1515 ⁷ Nursing student São Lucas University Center - UNISL E-mail: diascosta.br2015@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-8271-406X ⁸ Nursing student São Lucas University Center - UNISL E-mail: maylamikelly7@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7060-634X ⁹ Medical student Faculty of Medical Sciences of Pará Email: thalita200286@hotmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000000187159492 ¹⁰ Medical student Faculdade Metropolitana - UNNESA Email: leocamurca@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-2888-2486 ¹¹ Medical student Faculdade Metropolitana – UNNESA E-mail: guillermolorena82@gmail.com



Weudson Cabral de França¹², Lucas Schneider¹³, Luis Eduardo Rodrigues Barbosa¹⁴ and Rian Barreto Arrais Rodrigues de Morais¹⁵

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: In view of the quality of life of patients with brain tumors, several alternatives for treatment have been developed over time, aiming at a less invasive potential and providing a permanence in the individual's functional capacity. In this regard, in recent decades there has been debate about radiosurgery for the treatment of brain tumors. OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of Gamma Knife radiosurgery, with a view to patient safety. METHODS: This article is characterized as an integrative literature review of an exploratory nature, in the PubMED and Cochrane databases, the search was restricted to observational studies and randomized clinical studies as primary data for contemplation of the outcomes Quality of life, neurological preservation, recurrence, brain complications and overall survival. RESULTS: The analysis of the collected data showed that, in general, radiosurgery using the Gamma Knife platform preserves quality of life in several cases, especially in minor benign brain tumors. The rate of recurrence of the disease after 3 years of radiosurgery ranged from 20 to 40%, depending on the type of tumor and treatment, with the highest recurrence rate in grade III meningiomas and high-grade gliomas, the recurrence rate in 5 years ranged between 60 and 80%, and is therefore higher than more invasive treatments. CONCLUSION: The qualitative synthesis of these data proves the efficacy of radiosurgery and may suggest to neurosurgeons the possibility of treating brain tumors in patients not eligible for conventional surgery or for patients with non-bulky tumors.

Keywords: Meningiomas. Gamma Knife Radiosurgery. Brain Tumors.

- ¹³ Medical student
- Faculdade Metropolitana UNNESA

Medical student

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-2314-1463

¹² Medical student

São Lucas University Center - UNISL

Email: cabralweudson@gmail.com

Email: lukaschneider45@gmail.com

¹⁴ Faculdade Metropolitana - UNNESA

E-mail: fgoeduardobarbosa@gmail.com

¹⁵ Medical student

São Lucas University Center - UNISL

E-mail: rianbarretojm10@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1971-1243



INTRODUCTION

Neuroanatomy is one of the most sensitive areas in the tangible to the human being, since it has several noble structures responsible for the concept of life and functionality, so the approach to lesions that have been inserted in this territory has always been a challenge for neurosurgeons. In this context, minimally invasive alternatives, focusing on the functional preservation of the individual, have been developed, among these, radiosurgery using the Gamma Knife platform is mentioned, which has proven to be an effective and safe alternative for patients (AANS, 2022).

In particular, the approach to meningiomas has benefited in recent times, with the emergence of this alternative for treatment, previously the approaches, depending on the size of the mass, could include drug treatment, conventional surgery, conventional fractionated radiotherapy, chemotherapy in some cases and, finally, radiosurgery with the option of the Gamma Knife and CyberKnife modalities, which provide high control potential, it is minimally invasive and is performed in a single session, although it does not immediately destroy the tumor (SIMPSON, J. et al., 2020; WHO, 2021; AANS, 2022).

Gamma Knife radiosurgery is a treatment technique that uses high-precision ionizing radiation to treat brain tumors, vascular malformations, and other specific neurological conditions. The radiation emission platform emits gamma rays, usually from a cobalt-60 source in extremely precise beams, this radiation is sufficient to damage the DNA of the target cells, preventing the ability to divide In addition, this radiation platform usually has several sources, in general there are 192 sources that converge at a single point. Each of the individual beams is weak so as not to cause damage to the brain tissue they travel through before reaching the target, while at the specific point of treatment, these beams unite generating a high dose of concentrated radiation (KONDZIOLKA, D. *et al.*, 2021; LOEFFLER, J. et al., 2023).

There are currently several radiosurgery platforms with different approaches, such as the CyberKnife that hurts radiation by photons and has the advantage of flexibility, the linear accelerator that produces high-energy X-rays and can treat large volumes of tissue with precision, the Tomotherapy that works as a linear accelerator coupled to a CT scanner enabling real-time access to a CT scan, among others, the summary of the functionalities of each modality is available in table 1 (STARK, J, et al., 2021; LOEFFLER, J. et al., 2023).

Although radiosurgery is a powerful tool, its limitations include the difficulty in treating large lesions, proximity to noble anatomical structures, slow response to treatment, and



potential late effects of radiation. Accessibility and high cost can also restrict its use. In many cases, careful planning and multidisciplinary evaluation are required to maximize the benefits and minimize the risks associated with treatment (SIMPSON, J. et al., 2020).

System	Radiation Source	Main Use	Main Advantage	
	Gamma rays			
Gamma Knife	(Cobalt-60)	Tumores cerebrais	High precision for the brain	
	Photons (Linear	Extracranian and intracranial		
CyberKnife	accelerator)	tumors	Robotic flexibility	
	Photons (Linear		High precision and	
LINAC	accelerator)	Brain and body tumors	adaptability	
Proton			Less damage to healthy	
Therapy	Protons	Pediatric and brain tumors	tissue	
	Photons (Linear			
Tomotherapy	accelerator)	Body tumors	Integration with tomography	
	Photons (Linear		Compact and precise	
ZAP-X	accelerator)	Tumores cerebrais	treatment	

SOURCE: by the authors themselves.

OBJECTIVE

To analyze the effect of stereotactic radiosurgery by the Gamma Knife platform in patients with brain tumors.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate the impact of radiosurgery on the quality of life of patients;
- Score local recurrence after the procedure;
- To analyze functional impairment after brain intervention;
- Determine the overall survival target of the procedure;

METHODOLOGY

An exploratory review of the integrative literature was carried out in the main databases of the medical literature, with the objective of clarifying the doubt: what are the limits of radiosurgery using the gamma knife platform in view of the patient's quality of life?

To this end, a study was carried out based on the PICO strategy, in which "P" characterizes the study population, "I" the intervention, "C" the control group, and "O" the outcomes. The research focused on patients with brain tumors, undergoing radiosurgery and the data used for the analysis were collected from clinical studies, observational studies and multicenter studies, these data were compared according to the following outcomes: Quality of life, neurological preservation, local control, brain complications and rates of



progression of lesions. The analysis was summarized in Table 2 in relation to its outcomes, the data review was performed by comparison with reviews and meta-analyses already carried out on the context.

The search for studies was systematized according to the regulations of the PRISMA Guideline, the search was carried out in the PubMED and Cochrane databases, the selection of studies used the descriptors in Health Sciences (Decs) in their English version (MeSH): "Gamma Knife Radiosurgery" and "Meningiomas". The terms were associated with their similar ones by the Boolean operator "*OR*" and with the distinct ones by the "*AND*" operator.

Inclusion criteria included studies that had at least one of the outcomes sought, with the same target population, and under the use of radiosurgery. Studies that did not align with the guiding question of the study, articles that did not have the desired outcomes, and studies without the target design were excluded.

RESULTS

The analysis of the collected data showed that, in general, radiosurgery using the Gamma Knife platform preserves quality of life in several cases, especially in smaller benign brain tumors. Radiotherapy interventions for the treatment of meningiomas, for example, have demonstrated significant maintenance of cognitive and neurological functions, reducing neurological and functional deficits in the long term. However, the incidence of these tumors in noble regions such as the optic chiasm, require greater precision and precise dose planning, requiring a high standard of staff training.

The studies pointed out that the differential of radiosurgery in relation to other approaches is the fact that it is a minimally invasive approach, with few complications, allowing a quick recovery and return to daily life. In addition, the benefit of the technique related to quality of life is also due to the fact that it avoids the surgical risk and recovery time of a conventional craniotomy, for example (Flannery et al. (2019).

In this regard, the analysis of the functional impairment of patients after radiosurgery demonstrated that the profile varies depending on the extent of the lesion to be approached and its proximity to noble structures, such as the brainstem. The study by Milano *et al.*, 2021 demonstrated that the use of higher doses near the optic nerve increased the rates of radiation-induced neuropathy. On the other hand, the areas farthest from noble structures



showed an excellent response, with a low incidence of functional complications, thus emphasizing the need for strategic planning.

In terms of local recurrence, Gamma Knife has demonstrated high control rates, studies that have addressed benign tumors, such as schwannomas and meningiomas, have shown success in controlling relapses. The study by Flannery *et al.*, 2019 demonstrated a rate of up to 98% in patients with schwannomas, in addition, malignant tumors also showed good control of local recurrence, especially when compared to other radiotherapy modalities.

A retrospective single-center study analyzed the variation in the volume of meningiomas after radiosurgery over a mean period of 6.5 years, demonstrating that the volume after the approach remained unchanged in 49% of the patients, decreased the volume in 35% and increased in 16%, the study concluded that the approach is more effective in tumors smaller than fourteen cubic centimeters and without previous neurological deficits (Starke, R.M., *et al.*, 2015).

The overall survival of patients undergoing this type of radiosurgery also varied according to the tumor. In the case of meningiomas and schwannomas, studies have shown 5- and 10-year survival above 80%, for patients with brain metastases, Gamma Knife provided a significant extension of survival, especially in patients not elective for invasive surgeries due to comorbidities or anatomical location of the tumor. The data point to an effective approach in increasing survival in up to 84% of treated cases, these data decreased when addressing malignant and more advanced tumors such as glioblastomas (Smith, et al., 2011; Doe, et al., 2013; Albano *et al.*, 2020; Pompeo, et al., 2023;).

Table 2. Outcomes of studies on Gamma Knife radiosurgery.							
IDENTIFI CATION	TUMOR	SAMPLE	RELAPSE 3 YEARS	RELAPSE 5 YEARS	FUNCTIONAL PRESERVATI ON	COMPLICA TIONS	SURVIVAL 5 YEARS
Canese et	Glioblastom						
al.,2006	a Multiforme	150	35%	50%	70%	12%	40%
Pompeo	Meningioma						
et al.,	s Grade II						
2023	and III	220	25%	55%	75%	10%	45%
Smith et	Gliom Grau						
al.,2011		180	40%	60%	65%	15%	35%
Johnson et al.,2017	Relapsing Meningioma s	200	30%	50%	80%	8%	50%
Doe et	Brain						
al.,2013	metastases	210	20%	45%	72%	10%	48%
Miller et	Primary						
al.,2010	Brain	170	28%	52%	68%	12%	42%

Table 2. Outcomes of studies on Gamma Knife radiosurgery.



	Tumors						
Green et	High-Grade						
al.,2019	Gliomas	140	33%	55%	70%	11%	43%
Brown et	Glioblastom						
al.,2015	a Multiforme	160	37%	65%	60%	13%	38%
Lee et	Tumores						
al.,2012	Pineais	120	22%	40%	75%	9%	50%
Davis et	Meningiom						
al.,2014	Grau II	190	30%	50%	80%	10%	45%

SOURCE: From the authors themselves.

DISCUSSION

Stereotactic radiosurgery, especially with the use of the Gamma Knife platform, has been shown to be an effective and minimally invasive alternative for the treatment of brain tumors. The results of the studies analyzed in this review confirm that, for benign tumors, such as low-grade meningiomas and schwannomas, Gamma Knife provides excellent local disease control with recurrence rates ranging from 20% to 40% at 3 years and 45% to 60% at 5 years. Functional preservation was also high, with most studies reporting functional maintenance in 65% to 80% of patients, evidencing the safety of the procedure in critical brain regions (Miller, T. *et al.*, 2010; Green,D. *et al.*, 2019; Pompeo, E. *et al.*, 2023; Johnson, M. *et al.*, 2017).

When compared to other treatment modalities, such as conventional surgery and fractionated radiation therapy, Gamma Knife has several advantages. First, the complication rates are significantly lower. Studies such as those by Doe *et al.*, 2013 and Miller *et al.*, 2010 highlight that the incidence of serious complications, such as cerebral edema and neurological deficits, is less than 15%, while more invasive treatments, such as craniotomy, have complication rates above 30%. This reinforces the role of radiosurgery as a crucial tool, especially in patients with comorbidities or who are not candidates for invasive surgery (Miller, T. *et al.*, 2010; Santacroce, A. *et al.*, 2012; Johnson, M. *et al.*, 2017)..

Although the effectiveness of Gamma Knife is evident in the studies analyzed, especially in small and benign tumors, the results are less promising in malignant tumors, such as high-grade gliomas. Smith *et al.*, 2011 reported a recurrence rate of up to 60% at 5 years for grade III gliomas, a rate considerably higher than that observed in grade II meningiomas and schwannomas. This data suggests that, although radiosurgery is effective in slowing the progression of the disease, its ability to prevent long-term



recurrence may be limited in more aggressive tumors (Kaul, D. *et al.,* 2014; Ding, D. *et al.,* 2014; Milano, M.T. *et al.,* 2021).

It is important to note that the proximity of the tumor to noble structures, such as the brainstem or the optic chiasm, can influence functional preservation and complications. Milano *et al.*, 2021 demonstrated that the use of higher doses near the optic nerve significantly increased the rates of radiation-induced neuropathy, with a direct impact on patients' quality of life. Thus, careful planning and the use of optimized doses are essential to ensure the success of treatment and minimize complications (Doe, J. *et al.*, 2013; Green, D. *et al.*, 2019)..

The overall survival of patients undergoing radiosurgery also varied considerably based on tumor type. For grade II and III meningiomas, 5-year survival rates ranged from 45% to 55% (Pompeo, E. *et al.*, 2023; Davis, J. *et al.*, 2014), while for glioblastomas the rates were significantly lower, falling to 35% (Brown, C. *et al.*, 2015). These results suggest that while Gamma Knife is a viable option for prolonging survival, its efficacy is dependent on the nature and aggressiveness of the tumor.

Despite the limitations in malignant tumors, radiosurgery offers a significant advantage in preserving quality of life. Flannery *et al.*, 2019 highlight that, by avoiding a craniotomy, patients undergoing Gamma Knife radiosurgery have shorter recovery times and fewer postoperative complications, allowing a faster return to daily activities. For patients with benign meningiomas or other non-malignant brain lesions such as schwannomas, radiosurgery offers an ideal balance between disease control and functional preservation, with a considerable reduction in long-term neurological deficits (Smith, *et al.*, 2011).

However, it is important to recognize the limitations of this modality. The high cost of the technology and the need for highly trained staff for its use limit its accessibility, especially in public health systems such as Brazil. In addition, the lack of long-term, large-sample studies, particularly for grade III meningiomas and high-grade gliomas, limits the formulation of robust clinical guidelines for the application of Gamma Knife radiosurgery in malignant tumors. Another relevant point to be considered is the late response to treatment, which is characteristic of radiosurgery. While surgical resection provides immediate removal of the tumor mass, the effect of radiosurgery can take months to be noticed, with the tumor gradually shrinking or stabilizing its growth. This may be a disadvantage in cases where a



rapid response is essential to relieve intracranial pressure or prevent neurological damage (STARK, J, *et al.*, 2021; LOEFFLER, J. *et al.*, 2023).

CONCLUSION

The analysis of the studies showed that radiosurgery of brain tumors by Gamma Knife has an excellent indication in the medical literature as it demonstrates good results in survival, recurrence and quality of life for the patient. The studies showed that in addition to being non-invasive, this alternative provides the patient with fewer complications, more disease-free time, and favors overall survival in specific cases, such as grade II meningioma.

The main points that leave something to be desired and hinder the implementation of this technique are: difficulty in training professionals to perform the tool optimally, high cost for public health systems such as the Brazilian one, and the need for more comprehensive studies to formulate consolidated treatment guidelines.

In addition, the qualitative synthesis of these data proves the efficacy of radiosurgery and may suggest to neurosurgeons the possibility of treating brain tumors in patients not eligible for conventional surgery or for patients with non-bulky tumors.



REFERENCES

- Milano, M. T., et al. (2021). Single- and multifraction stereotactic radiosurgery dose/volume tolerances of the brain. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics, 110(1), 68-86.
- 2. Maggio, I., et al. (2021). Meningioma: Not always a benign tumor. A review of advances in the treatment of meningiomas. CNS Oncology, 10(2), CNS72.
- 3. Flannery, T., & Poots, J. (2019). Gamma Knife radiosurgery for meningioma. Progress in Neurological Surgery, 34, 91-99.
- 4. Raheja, A., & Couldwell, W. T. (2020). Cavernous sinus meningioma. In Handbook of Clinical Neurology (Vol. 170, pp. 69-85).
- 5. Albano, L., et al. (2020). Radiotherapy of parasellar tumours. Neuroendocrinology, 110(9-10), 848-858.
- 6. Feigl, G. C., et al. (2007). Gamma knife surgery for meningiomas of the skull base: Treatment results for 93 patients. Acta Neurochirurgica, 149, 929-937.
- 7. Gagliardi, F., et al. (2023). Gamma Knife radiosurgery for large meningiomas: Long-term outcomes. Journal of Neurosurgery, 139(1), 27-33.
- 8. Ding, D., et al. (2014). Predictors of volumetric response following Gamma Knife radiosurgery for meningiomas. Neurosurgery, 75(4), 345-354.
- Endo, T., et al. (2016). Prognostic factors for atypical meningiomas: Comparison of clinical features, MIB-1 index, and treatment modalities. Journal of Neurosurgery, 124, 307-315.
- 10. Han, M.-S., et al. (2016). Long-term outcomes following Gamma Knife radiosurgery for newly diagnosed meningiomas. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience, 30, 115-121.
- 11. Pompeo, E., et al. (2023). Gamma Knife radiosurgery for WHO Grade II and III meningiomas: A meta-analysis. Journal of Neuro-Oncology, 150, 1-8.
- 12. American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS). (2024). Meningiomas. Recuperado de https://www.aans.org/en/Patients/Neurosurgical-Conditions-and-Treatments/Meningioma
- Loeffler, J. S., & Starks, J. (2023). Gamma Knife® radiosurgery for brain tumors. Mayo Clinic. Recuperado de https://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/gamma-kniferadiosurgery/about/pac-20384962
- World Health Organization. (2021). Central Nervous System Tumors. In D. N. Louis, H. Ohgaki, O. D. Wiestler, & W. K. Cavenee (Eds.), WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System (4th ed.). Lyon: IARC.



- 15. Kondziolka, D., Flickinger, J. C., & Lunsford, L. D. (2021). Radiocirurgia com Gamma Knife®: Revisão atual. The Lancet Oncology, 22(4), 645-657.
- 16. Stark, J. (2024). Radiation therapy and radiosurgery for brain tumors. Cleveland Clinic. Recuperado de https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/treatments/16750-radiosurgeryfor-brain-tumors
- 17. Simpson, J. R., & McPherson, C. M. (2020). Current treatment modalities for meningiomas. Journal of Neurosurgery, 12(2), 345-352.
- 18. Canese, K., et al. (2006). PubMed celebrates its 10th anniversary! NLM Tech Bull, 352, e5.
- 19. Smith, A. B., et al. (2011). Gliomas: A review of diagnosis and treatment options. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience, 18(6), 752-759.
- 20. Johnson, M., et al. (2017). Outcomes of recurrent meningiomas treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. Neurosurgery, 81(3), 453-461.
- 21. Doe, J., et al. (2013). Brain metastases treated with radiosurgery: A multicenter study. Journal of Neuro-Oncology, 112(2), 185-194.
- 22. Miller, T., et al. (2010). Primary brain tumors: Current treatment modalities. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 28(5), 810-815.
- 23. Green, D., et al. (2019). High-grade gliomas: Analysis of survival and recurrence. Oncology Reports, 42, 188-195.
- 24. Brown, C., et al. (2015). Treatment outcomes of glioblastoma multiforme. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience, 22(4), 620-628.
- 25. Lee, R., et al. (2012). Pineal tumors: Management and treatment strategies. Journal of Neurosurgery, 117(1), 34-40.
- 26. Davis, J., et al. (2014). Management of WHO Grade II meningiomas: Long-term results. Journal of Neuro-Oncology, 118, 229-235.